	Case 4:10-cv-05183-YGR	Document 20	Filed 04/22/11	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9	NOT FOR CITATION				
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	MARIO TRUJILLO,) No.	C 10-05183 JF	(PR)	
13	Plaintiff,			NG PLAINTIFF TO	
14	vs.) PROVIDE FURTHER INFORMATI) FOR UNSERVED DEFENDANTS		
15	FRANCISCO JACQUEZ, et al.,				
16	Defendants.				
17	Berendants.				
18					
19	Plaintiff, a prisoner currently incarcerated at the Pelican Bay State Prison				
20	("PBSP") in Crescent City, filed the instant civil rights action in <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> pursuant to 42				
21	U.S.C. § 1983 against PBSP officials. The Court ordered service of Plaintiff's complaint				
22	upon the named defendants. (See Docket No. 3.) On March 14, 2011, the summons for				
23	Defendant J. Reynoso was returned with the following remark: "Facility has more than				
24	one individual with same name and i	nitial. More inf	ormation is need	ed." (Docket No.	
25	15.) The summons for Defendant F. Avila was also returned on the same day with the				
26	following remark: "Subject is no longer at the facility. The facility will not accept				
27	service." (Docket No. 16.) Accordingly, Reynoso and Avila have not been served.				
28	Although a plaintiff who is incarcerated and proceeding in forma pauperis may				
	Order Directing P to locate Ds P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\CR.10\Trujillo05183_locate Ds.wpd	1			

Case 4:10-cv-05183-YGR Document 20 Filed 04/22/11 Page 2 of 3

rely on service by the Marshal, such plaintiff "may not remain silent and do nothing to effectuate such service"; rather, "[a]t a minimum, a plaintiff should request service upon the appropriate defendant and attempt to remedy any apparent defects of which [he] has knowledge." Rochon v. Dawson, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir. 1987). Here, Plaintiff's complaint has been pending for over 120 days, and thus, absent a showing of "good cause," is subject to dismissal without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Plaintiff has not provided sufficient information to allow the Marshal to locate and serve Defendants Reynoso and Avila, and consequently Plaintiff must remedy the situation or face dismissal of his claims against these defendants without prejudice. See Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d at 1421-22 (holding prisoner failed to show cause why prison official should not be dismissed under Rule 4(m) where prisoner failed to show he had provided Marshal with sufficient information to effectuate service).

Accordingly, Plaintiff must provide the Court with Defendant Reynoso's full name and badge number, if known, and Defendant Avila's accurate current location such that the Marshal is able to effect service. <u>If Plaintiff fails to provide the Court with an accurate current location for them within thirty (30) days of the date this order is filed.</u>

Plaintiff's claims against Reynoso and Avila will be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 4/1/11

JEREMY FO

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIO TRUJILLO,	Case Number: CV10-05183 JF		
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
v.			
FRANCISCO JACQUEZ, et al.,			
Defendants.			
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I a Court, Northern District of California.	am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District		
That on 4/22/11 attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a phereinafter listed, by depositing said envaninter-office delivery receptacle locate	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) relope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into d in the Clerk's office.		
Mario Trujillo F-99682 Pelican Bay State Prison P.O. Box 7500 Crescent City, CA 95531			
Dated: 4/22/11			
	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk		